

Ordinary Minutes

Council Meeting

16 October 2023

RINGAROOMA MEMORIAL HALL

it's in the making

Agenda Contents

ltem 157/23	Confirmation of Ordinary Council Meeting Minutes – 18 September 2023	3
	DECISION	3
ltem 158/23	Confirmation of Agenda	4
	DECISION	4
ltem 159/23	Declaration of an Interest of the Commissioner or Close Associate	4
ltem 160/23	Commissioner Communications	4
ltem 161/23	Management Team Briefing Report	5
	DECISION	5
ltem 162/23	Council Workshops Held Since Last Council Meeting	5
ltem 163/23	Applications for Leave of Absence	5
ltem 164/23	Public Question Time	5
ltem 165/23	Deputations	11
ltem 166/23	Commissioner Question Time	11
ltem 167/23	Notices of Motion by the Commissioner	11
ltem 168/23	2023/24 Fees and Charges Variation – Scottsdale Aquatic Centre	12
	DECISION	12
ltem 169/23	2023/24 Annual Plan – September Quarterly Report	12
	DECISION	13
ltem 170/23	External Grant Funding Update	13
	DECISION	13
ltem 171/23	Blue Derby Mountain Bike Trails – September Quarterly Update	13
	DECISION	14
ltem 172/23	Closure of Meeting to the Public	14
	DECISION	14
CLOSED SESSION	I AGENDA ITEMS	14
Time Meeting Cl	osed: 6:56 pm	15



Council Meeting Minutes 16 October 2023

Meeting Opened: 6:00 pm

Present: Commissioner Andrew Wardlaw

General Manager: John Marik, Assistant General Manager / Director – Community & Development: Rohan Willis, Finance Manager: Allison Saunders, Scottsdale Aquatic Centre Manager: Jane Kilburn, Executive Assistant: Sarah Forsyth

Apologies: Nil

Acknowledgement of Country

Dorset Council acknowledges the deep history and culture of the First People who were the traditional owners of the lands and waterways where we live and work. We acknowledge the clans-people who lived here for over a thousand generations on the Country where Scottsdale is built and throughout the area we know as the north east region.

Dorset Council acknowledge the present-day Aboriginal custodians and the inclusive contribution they make to the social, cultural and economic essence of the municipality.

ltem 157/23	Confirmation of Ordinary Council Meeting Minutes – 18 September 2023
	Ref: DOC/23/11238

The Chair reported that he had viewed the minutes of the <u>Ordinary</u> Meeting held on Monday, 18 September 2023 finds them to be a true record and recommends that they be taken as read and signed as a correct record.

DECISION

MOVED / SECONDED: Commissioner Wardlaw

That the Minutes of Proceedings of the Dorset Council <u>Ordinary</u> Meeting held on 18 September 2023 having been circulated to the Commissioner, be confirmed as a true record.

CARRIED: COMMISSIONER

DECISION

MOVED / SECONDED: Commissioner Wardlaw

That Council confirm the Agenda and order of business for the 16 October 2023 Council Meeting.

CARRIED: COMMISSIONER

Item 159/23 Declaration of an Interest of the Commissioner or Close Associate

In accordance with Regulation 8 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* and Council's adopted Code of Conduct, the Commissioner is requested to indicate whether he has, or is likely to have a pecuniary interest (any pecuniary interest or pecuniary detriment) or conflict of interest in any item on the Agenda.

INTEREST DECLARED

Nil

Item 160/23 Commissioner Communications

Commissioner Wardlaw's Calendar | 14 September 2023 – 11 October 2023

September 2023

- 14 Citizenship Ceremony, Council Chambers
- 14 Reconciliation Tas Public Workshop Understanding the Voice, Dorset Community House
- 18 Meeting with TasRex Pty Ltd with General Manager, Council Chambers
- 18 Meeting with ratepayer, Council Chambers
- 18 Weekly meeting with Council management team
- 18 September Council Meeting, Council Chambers
- 19 Health Consumers Tasmania: Regional Steering Group Meeting, Northbourne Community Centre, Scottsdale
- 20 Meeting with Health Consumers Tasmania, Scottsdale
- 20 Town familiarisation tour and business introductions in Winnaleah, Branxholm and Derby
- 20 Tour of Winnaleah District High School with Acting Principal, Keeley Lester, Winnaleah
- 20 Derby Community Development Association (DCDA), Annual General Meeting, Derby
- 21 Meeting with Bridget Archer MP, Scottsdale
- 21 North East Voice to Parliament Information Session, Scottsdale Mechanics Institute Hall
- 22 Meeting with Blue Derby Foundation and potential business group with General Manager, Council Chambers
- 25 Weekly meeting with Council management team
- 25 Branxholm Progress Association, General Meeting, Branxholm Hall
- 27 Meeting with Marine and Safety Tasmania (MAST) representatives with General Manager and Assistant General Manager, Council Chambers
- 27 Citizenship Ceremony, Council Chambers

- 27 Rotary Club of Scottsdale, 60th Anniversary Celebration Dinner, Scottsdale Art Gallery Café
- 28 Meeting with ratepayers with the General Manager, Council Chambers

October 2023

- 2 North East Tasmania Chamber of Commerce monthly meeting, Scottsdale Art Gallery Café
- 3 October Council Briefing Workshop with Council management team, Council Chambers
- 4 Meeting with ratepayer, Council Chambers
- 9 Meeting with Council management team
- 9 Meeting with Tasmania Police Inspector Adam Spencer with General Manager, Council Chambers
- 10 Meeting with ratepayer, Scottsdale

Item 161/23 Management Team Briefing Report

The purpose of this agenda item is to provide the Commissioner and the community with a briefing on matters of interest dealt with during the past month by Council's Management Team.

DECISION

MOVED / SECONDED: Commissioner Wardlaw

That the Management Team Briefing Report be received and noted.

CARRIED: COMMISSIONER

ltem 162/23	Council Workshops Held Since Last Council Meeting					
3 October Briefing Workshop						
ltem 163/23	Applications for Leave of Absence					
· · · · · ·						

Item 164/23 Public Question Time

The following is an **update** to a question received on notice at the 18 September Council Meeting:

Karl Willrath, Scottsdale

(This update relates to the provision of a mayoral vehicle, with questions asked at the September Council Meeting)

The total cost to Council since the mayoral vehicle (MV) was supplied equates to \$64,141.75 over the 3 year and 9 month period (the odometer reading of the MV on 20 September 2023 was 131,663). The actual kilometre cost to Council for the same 3 year and 9 month period from 2016 to 2020 cost Council \$20,508.10 (total kilometres claimed 41,682) Prior to the supply of the MV, the Mayor was only claiming for kilometres where Council business was undertaken outside the municipality. The decision to supply a MV was not based on the arrangement being cheaper for Council, but more so linked to the amount of Council activity undertaken by the Mayor and inadequacy of the allowances to cover this

activity. The transcript of this decision has been supplied to yourself directly and included in the September Council Meeting minutes.

I note the MV was returned to Council on suspension of the Councillors on 2 August 2023. The MV has been returned into Council's fleet and will be utilised by Council staff for business matters. The current MV (Mitsubishi Pajero) is due for replacement as a result of its high kilometres and will be traded in shortly for a lower cost Mitsubishi Outlander. Whether a MV is provided as part of the Mayoral role, will be a decision for a future Council.

The full analysis of the MV can be found in the attachments.

The following questions were taken on notice at the 18 September Council Meeting:

Dale Jessup, Youngtown:

A question regarding to the Personal Information Policy review listed for discussion in tonight's agenda. Under the Policy, in the security of personal information section it states "Generally there is an intention that information is destroyed or permanently de-identified when it is no longer required". Given the data hacks that Australian businesses have experienced relating to data that was exposed that has been later shown should've been deleted, do you consider the Policy wording will guarantee data no longer required to be held is deleted?

Response from Administration Manager, Lauren Tolputt:

This statement forms part of a broader paragraph within the Policy which provides important context: 'Generally there is an intention that information is destroyed or permanently deidentified when it is no longer required. <u>This can only be done in accordance with the Archives Act</u> <u>1983 and any other relevant legislation</u>.' Due to this legislation the retention periods differ based on nature of information / documents.

The Personal Information Protection Policy is one component of Council's broader approach to IT governance and cyber security. Given the constantly evolving nature of IT and associated cyber risks, Council's overall approach is regularly reviewed with a view of reducing or eliminating the likelihood and impact of data breach incidents.

Louise Brooker, Bridport:

In relation to the planning application up for debate this evening, referring to the revised plan, in his response to representations about the excessive heights for parts of the Barnbougle development, why did the Town Planner not use readily available software to indicate the visual effects of the 12 metre tall build up from the various main streets?

Response from General Manager, John Marik:

The discretionary application visual amenity utilised colours that blended into the surrounding landscape and thus 3D modelling through software was not deemed necessary in the assessment of the application.

Vincent Teichmann, Pioneer:

- 1. Why does Dorset Council focus exclusively on Cascade Dam Rd, and not even offer to write a letter of support to conduct my business on roads servicing the Atlas Trailhead?
- 2. Were any of the reasons given by the former general manager, Tim Watson, in denying my business a letter of support, namely that the road was too small to handle additional traffic; that another operator might threaten the viability of existing operators; and then later, that he and others supposedly had concerns about my driving; were any of these valid reasons, in your professional opinions, for denying my business a letter of support?
- 3. If so, which ones?
- 4. Through you, Commissioner, if John Marik is of the opinion that any of them are valid, why \ did he not mention this previously, for example when we had our meeting on 24th January) this year when I addressed the "reasons" verbally and in writing, or at any time since when I ' brought this issue up at Council meetings?
- 5. Does Council still fail to see why these so-called "reasons" are not valid, as they do not stand up to closer scrutiny or pass the pub-test?
 - If the road is too small, why are Vertigo and UDA allowed to run Coaster size buses up it when I'm just wanting to run a Commuter size shuttle?
 - Why are the other operators allowed to keep increasing their use of the roads by adding more shuttles to their fleet, but I can't even run one?
 - As the road has undergone major upgrades since I first asked for a letter of support, how is it still reasonable to deny me a letter of support on the basis of "road too small"?

Given that businesses naturally thrive or fail as a result of how they are run and other circumstances in our free market economy, why does Council choose to interfere in this process and pick favourites, contrary to the Australian Competition and Consumer Act (2010), by preventing my business from even competing?

Given construction and maintenance of the trails and all the associated infrastructure is paid for by public funds on publicly owned land, how does Council justify denying some people and businesses free and fair access, in a free market economy?

If there were serious and valid concerns about my driving, why did the former general manager, instead of bringing these to the attention of the authorities at the time he was made aware of them, together with any supporting evidence, choose to rely, in forming his professional opinion as GM of Dorset Council, on what seems to be unsubstantiated, hearsay accusations, allegedly made partly by shuttle operators with whom I would be in direct competition, and which were never tested in Court? Does this in Council's opinion constitute 'acting in good faith'? If not, why have I not received an apology and why are these defamatory allegations still being repeated by Dorset Council, as recently as the start of this year by the Mayor at Council meetings?

- 6. And why does the Council choose to add insult to injury by not providing me with a simple letter of support, rather belatedly, so that I can try to run my business?
- 7. If they were never valid reasons, should Dorset Council not issue me and my business with the requested Letter of Support, given that there was no Dorset Council policy in place at the time, to justify the refusal to issue my business with the requested Letter of support and in fact, there still isn't?! Quite to the contrary ...

Why does it state on its website, under "Business community in Dorset": "Dorset Council is focused on providing support to potential investors to facilitate sustainable developments large and small."? Is a new family owned and run small business not deemed appropriate, and if not,

why not, when it was okay for me to do the same job that I want to do for myself, for other people? Is Council not being hypocritical by putting up barriers to people having a go in business, which is the very antithesis of what they proclaim to be in favour of on their own website?

In a similar vein Dorset Council's Facebook page states in the Intro it's there to: "To facilitate & promote investment & population growth to strengthen our economic & social diversity." Is a \$40k investment not sufficient? Am I not 'diverse' enough for me and my business to be acceptable to Council? Is raising our four children here not helping enough with population growth for me to be considered eligible for Council's largesse, by way of a mere letter that I estimate would cost Council about \$20 or less of the General Manager's time? Do you know that by the same standard it must have cost hundreds or thousands of ratepayer dollars by now to refuse it, which will grow if Council drags this issue out, instead of resolving it?

Did you know, Council are even trying to attract business investment by doing the following:

- Planning approval fees for development of eligible new small businesses and existing larger businesses are discounted by 50%;
- Renewal of annual food business registration fees are waived for permanent residents; and
- New small businesses are eligible for a 50% rate remission during their first 12 months of operation.

How is it that Council is willing to do all this for businesses, but won't even give me a simple letter of support for my business, to apply for a state government NBT license?

- 8. Given that, as per this month's agenda, in the 2022/23 financial year the net cost of the Blue Derby Mountain Biking trails to Dorset was about half a million dollars (\$489,249), which is projected to increase, to be over \$1000 for every resident in Dorset or \$827, 670 in 2023/24, how is it reasonable not to support long-term residents or ratepayers who have been raising their family here since before the trails were built or even being considered, with something as simple as a letter of support for a trail related business? And especially when one bears in mind that the stated goal of building the trails was to stimulate economic activity in the area and that Council is supposed to be actively encouraging business investment?
- 9. Do you, as Commissioner, not have the power and indeed the duty, as the representative of the people of Dorset acting as the Councillors and Dorset Council under Section 232, Powers and functions of Commissioner, which states: "A commissioner of a council may exercise the powers and perform the functions of the councillors." to try to right any past wrongs? In this instance could you not do this, by asking the General Manager, if he is unwilling or unable to decide to do so by himself, to write me a simple Letter of Support for my business, which the Local Government Act (1993) Section 62.(1)(b) and (i) suggest you do, and I quote:

62. Functions and powers of general manager

(1)The general manager has the following functions:

(a) to implement the policies, plans and programs of the council;

(b) to implement the decisions of the council;

(c) to be responsible for the day-to-day operations and affairs of the council;

(d) to provide advice and reports to the council on the exercise and performance of its powers and functions and any other matter requested by the council;

(e) to assist the council in the preparation of the strategic plan, annual plan, annual report and assessment of the council's performance against the plans;

(f) to coordinate proposals for the development of objectives, policies and programs for the consideration of the council;

(g) to liaise with the mayor on the affairs of the council and the performance of its functions; Dorset Council | Ordinary Meeting of Council | Minutes | 16 October 2023 Ref: DOC/23/12849 (h) to manage the resources and assets of the council;

- (i) to perform any other function the council decides?
- 10. How can one consider this a 'day-to-day operational matter', when it has been going on for about two years so far and has involved what seem to me to be errors in judgement of the previous General Manager, Tim Watson and the stood down Mayor, Greg Howard? Is it not high time to fix this potentially embarrassing and costly situation, and give me the chance to run my business? Or will I be forced to escalate the matter further?
- 11. Why do you, as Commissioner, not use your power to intervene, for the sake of the Council and all those involved, and allow our community to put this behind us and move forward, by simply asking the General Manager to write the requested letter? Unless you feel that there are valid reasons for refusing it, in which case, would you please tell me what they are?
- 12. If safety assessments of road traffic are needed, why am I not being allowed to participate, while the other shuttle operators are able to continue driving large shuttle buses up Cascade Dam Rd?

Response from General Manager, John Marik:

Council Officers cannot comment on any of the questions raised by you in relation to actions or comments made by the suspended Mayor Howard, or the former General Manager Watson. As previously communicated your request for a letter of support will be reviewed by the current administration as a fresh request. Since October 2022 (I was the Acting General Manager Oct-Nov 2022 and appointed full-time Dec 2022 – current) Council officers have been reviewing the shuttle bus framework in Blue Derby including key discussions with stakeholders (senior members of Parks & Wildlife Service, Council's Trail Operations, a selection of shuttle operators and the Blue Derby Foundation). Through this process, Council have now determined to undertake the following actions:

- Council will establish a public policy in relation to shuttle operators and this policy will go to a future Council Meeting for adoption;
- Council will initiate a meeting with current and potential future operators in October 2023 to gain feedback in relation to the proposed policy;
- Cascade Dam Road will be reviewed from a safety perspective based on existing and projected traffic movement volumes generated by increasing visitor numbers. Road counters have already been placed across the road to inform this review. Council will require road counter data for the peak season, meaning this exercise will take many months; and
- The trail head parking area will be assessed in relation to shuttle parking arrangements, currently and into the future.

The reason the above actions are required is to ensure Council:

- Provides current and possible future operators clarity and fairness in regards to shuttle operations;
- Has a consistent process for all;
- Looks to improve community safety; and
- Ensuring that the Blue Derby brand is front and centre for all future decisions.

Please note that until this review is concluded, which includes adoption of the proposed policy by Council, no further letters of support will be provided in regards to new shuttle operators / businesses. It is likely this holistic review and the resulting policy position will not be completed until early 2024.

The following questions were received <u>on notice</u> from members of the public:

Karl Willrath, Scottsdale | 6 October 2023

Does Council intend on using the same legal firm to attempted to block right to information requests from the general public again (see attached¹), and is commissioner Wardlaw confident that senior staff are now complying with all statutory obligations/expectations of the local government act?

Response from Commissioner, Andrew Wardlaw:

Council obtains legal advice from a range of legal practitioners depending on the type of advice and relevant experience on the subject matter. Dorset Council has predominately used three different legal firms. Legal advice is not sought for all Right to Information (RTI) as there is experienced and trained employees capable of responding to the majority of request that are received.

Council received the legal advice in regard to this matter in good faith and concurred with the information provided. The Council had an opportunity to review this advice when a draft of the Ombudsman Report was provided on 8 August 2023, and while Council maintained the view that there was merit in the advice it determined to respect the decision reached by the Ombudsman.

In response to the question the Council may continue to use the same legal advisor with future RTI requests. The General Manager has assured the Commissioner that the officer responsible for actioning any RTI requests has the appropriate experience and training.

Response from General Manager, John Marik:

Any form of legislation may lead to subjectivity and differences in legal opinion. It is for this reason that at times arbitration and courts are required to settle differences of opinion. In this case, Council's solicitors were engaged at arm's length to assess the right to information application on its merits, and not to 'block' the Right to Information request. Council have chosen not to appeal the Ombudsman's decision in this case and have released the information in a redacted form as suggested by the Ombudsman. Council officers will take learnings from the Ombudsman's verdict in future information requests from the public.

The following questions were received **without notice** from members of the public:

Karl Willrath, Scottsdale:

As per the RTI including ombudsman's comment that I note is published via the agenda on tonight's meeting, could I please know what specific legal firm was used it an attempt to block that RTI. I am of the opinion that the arguments used are that unusual, the possibility exists that someone in that firm actually knew myself or Lawrence Archer and they like us?

¹ this reference can be found in the agenda attachments Dorset Council | Ordinary Meeting of Council | Minutes | 16 October 2023 Ref: DOC/23/12849

Mary Schramm, Ringarooma:

I live next door to the disused tennis court. After 12 months of following multiple leads, I have established that the registered owners of the land (where the tennis court is located) are Mrs MG Holmes and WG White, both of whom have been deceased for some years. The correspondence regarding the tennis courts has been sent to the Tennis Club, care of John and Rae Wardlaw's address, with the bank statements sent to Stella Wade who although wasn't the official treasurer is an accountant, with money accumulating interest in an account. The reason I have been trying to locate the owner of the tennis court is that I have a wish to improve the look of Main Street, Ringarooma and would like permission to place suitable murals on the concrete block wall. I am reluctant to engage a suitable artist without reasonable assurance that I am not breaking the law. Can you confirm that an estate such as this would normally go to the Public Trustee?

Response from Assistant General Manager, Rohan Willis:

If the deceased estate ownership or lineage of the deceased estate cannot be established, it would be referred to the Public Trustee.

Will the Council undertake the necessary inquiries to assist to progress my project?

QUESTION TAKEN ON NOTICE

John Wardlaw, Ringarooma:

What is the situation with the Rail Trail? Is that progressing at all?

Response from Commissioner Andrew Wardlaw:

You may have read recently that Council now have planning approval for the Dorset section and also from the City of Launceston Council for the portion within their municipality. I have been working with the General Manager, with Officers currently undertaking due diligence / review of the project including budget impacts, conditions listed on planning approvals, grant funding arrangements, etc. A report will come back to Council in the future after this due diligence is completed.

ltem 165/23	Deputations		
Nil			

Item 166/23 Commissioner Question Time

The following questions were received **<u>without notice</u>** from the Commissioner:

Nil

Item 167/23 Notices of Motion by the Commissioner

Nil

Purpose

The purpose of this agenda report is to present and update Council's Fees and Charges Schedule for 2023/24 in relation to the operations of the Swim School, Aqua Fitness and Kiosk services at the Scottsdale Aquatic Centre.

Recommendation

That Council adopts the amended 2023/24 Fees and Charges Schedule for the Scottsdale Aquatic Centre, as listed:

DECISION

MOVED / SECONDED: Commissioner Wardlaw

That Council adopts the amended 2023/24 Fees and Charges Schedule for the Scottsdale Aquatic Centre, as listed:

	Proposed Variation \$	26 June 2023 Approved \$	GST Inc
Scottsdale Aquatic Centre Standard Charges			
Learn to Swim (LTS) (Adult/Child) per class (min. of 4 per class)	17.00	19.00	Yes
Aqua Aerobic Classes (Adult/Child) per class (min. of 5 per class)	17.00	19.00	Yes
Aqua Aerobic Classes (Adult/Child) per class (min. of 5 per class) - Concession	15.00	_	Yes
Kiosk - average margin	60%	-	Yes

CARRIED: COMMISSIONER

ltem 169/23	2023/24 Annual Plan – September Quarterly Report
	Reporting Officer: General Manager, John Marik
	Ref: DOC/23/12572 Quarterly Report: DOC/23/11929

Purpose

The purpose of this agenda item is to update Council and the community on progress of the 2023/24 Annual Plan as at 30 September 2023.

	September Quarter
Achieved	4
In Progress	-
Not Achieved	-
Compliance Score	100%
Deferred	1
	-

Recommendation

That Council receive and note the attached Annual Plan 2023/24 September Quarterly Report.

DECISION

MOVED / SECONDED: Commissioner Wardlaw

That Council receive and note the attached Annual Plan 2023/24 September Quarterly Report.

CARRIED: COMMISSIONER

ltem 170/23	External Grant Funding Update
	Reporting Officer: Finance Manager, Allison Saunders
	Ref: DOC/23/12574

Purpose

The purpose of this report is to provide an update on external grant funding received to Council and the Dorset community.

Recommendation

That Council receive and note the external grant funding update.

DECISION

MOVED / SECONDED: Commissioner Wardlaw

That Council receive and note the external grant funding update.

CARRIED: COMMISSIONER

ltem 171/23	Blue Derby Mountain Bike Trails – September Quarterly Update
	Reporting Officer: General Manager, John Marik
	Ref: DOC/23/12651 Annexures (B and C): DOC/23/12714

Purpose

The purpose of this agenda item is to provide Council with a quarterly report on the costs and revenue streams of the Blue Derby Mountain Bike Trails (MTB) and any significant adverse events relating to the performance of the Memorandum of Understanding with the Blue Derby Foundation (the Foundation).

Recommendation

That Council:

- 1. Receive the Blue Derby Mountain Bike Trails September Quarterly Update.
- 2. Receive a quarterly report in February 2024, May 2024 and August 2024 on the costs and revenue streams of Blue Derby MTB and any significant adverse events relating to the performance of the Memorandum of Understanding with the Blue Derby Foundation.

DECISION

MOVED / SECONDED: Commissioner Wardlaw

That Council:

- 1. Receive the Blue Derby Mountain Bike Trails September Quarterly Update.
- 2. Receive a quarterly report in February 2024, May 2024 and August 2024 on the costs and revenue streams of Blue Derby MTB and any significant adverse events relating to the performance of the Memorandum of Understanding with the Blue Derby Foundation.

CARRIED: COMMISSIONER

Item 172/23 Closure of Meeting to the Public

DECISION

MOVED / SECONDED: Commissioner Wardlaw

That the Meeting be closed to the public pursuant to Regulation 15 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015,* and that members of the public be required to leave the meeting room.

Time Meeting Closed to the Public: 6:41 pm

Meeting Adjourned: 6:41 pm

Reason: Tea break with the public

Meeting Resumed: 6:50 pm

CLOSED SESSION AGENDA ITEMS

The following matter was listed in the Closed Session Meeting section of the Council Agenda in accordance with Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015:

Item 173/23 Confirmation of Ordinary Council Meeting <u>Closed Session</u> Minutes – 18 September 2023

Item 174/23 Northern Materials Recovery Facility Contract

The reports on these matter were listed in the Closed Meeting section of the Council Agenda in accordance with Regulation 15 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* as the detail covered in the respective reports relates to:

 Entering into a formal agreement to process recyclable materials transported from the Dorset municipality. Time Meeting Closed: 6:56 pm

Minutes Confirmed:

20 November 2023

Minute No: 176/23

/ .

Commissioner Wardlaw

Mayoral vehicle analysis

Private car use

Kilometres claimed:	Only ap	oproximately 25% of kilom	etres claimed via	reim	ıbursement							
Financial Year		2016	2017		2018		2019		2020	Tota	l months	
Months		12	12		12		12		4		52	2
No. of Kilometres Claimed		7,935	14,460		8,189		7,965		3,133	_		
\$ of Kilometres Claimed	\$	3,798.48 \$	6,922.00	\$	3,920.08	\$	4,045.42	\$	1,822.12	\$	20,508.10	
Average cost per month Cents per kilometre rate	\$	316.54 \$ 0.478699433	576.83 0.478699862	•	326.67 0.478700696	\$	337.12 0.507899561	•	455.53 0.581589531	\$	- 394.39	
Council provided vehicle:												
Plant expenses - P5044	Owners	ship - 3 years + 9 months		Tota	al Kilometres		131,663	@ 2	0th September 2023			
Financial Year		2020	2021		2022		2023		2024	Tota	l months	
Months		8	12		12		12		1		45	5
Depreciation	\$	4,569.32 \$	6,882.24	\$	6,882.24	\$	2,312.76	\$	-			*Expec
Fuel	\$	2,906.45 \$	4,982.88	\$	7,328.83	\$	9,722.55	\$	729.61			dispos
Employee costs	\$	26.99 \$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			\$30,00
Registration/Stamp duty	\$	2,793.38 \$	530.01	\$	550.98	\$	566.95	\$	-			
Tyres	\$	40.91 \$	1,237.27	\$	31.82	\$	1,320.91	\$	-			
Insurance	\$	- \$	320.12	\$	243.75	\$	-	\$	-			
Maintenance (unscheduled)	\$	4,325.14 \$	47.59	\$	450.00	\$	-	\$	-			
Maintenance (scheduled)	\$	170.00 \$	1,092.96	\$	1,652.63	\$	1,943.46	\$	480.00			
												Cents
Maintenance Expenses Total	\$	14,832.19 \$	15,093.07	\$	17,140.25	\$	15,866.63	\$	1,209.61	\$	64,141.75	\$
average cost per month	\$	1,854.02 \$	1,257.76	\$	1,428.35	\$	1,322.22	\$	1,209.61	\$	1,425.37	
Plant Hire recovered	-\$	8,000.00 -\$	11,000.00	-\$	12,800.00	-\$	12,000.00	-\$	1,000.00			
Total per plant module	\$	6,832.19 \$	4,093.07	\$	4,340.25	\$	3,866.63	\$	209.61			
· ·	\$	- \$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			

pected oosal value ,000

its per km 0.49